

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/559,621	Applicant(s) SLUNICK ET AL.	
	Examiner Fannie Kee	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amendment after Final

(1) Fannie Kee.

(3) Aaron Dunwoody.

(2) Timothy C. Bradley, #59,497.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 9 March 2010

Time: 4 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-9, 13-18, 20-29

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims 1-3, 9, 13, 14, 20-27, and 29 and to amend Figure 1 of the drawings and claims 4-8 as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, Paper No. 20100310, which puts this application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)